## Performance of CVD Diamond Detectors Irradiated by Carbon Beams

#### Fabio Schirru

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung Darmstadt - Germany



GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH



**Experiment Overview** 

Material & Experimental Setup

Results

Conclusions

**Experiment Overview** 

Material & Experimental Setup

Results

Conclusions

## LNS 2015: Radiation Hardness Tests





Schlemme et al. 2017 under preparation.

#### Summary:

- Unchanged signal properties of the pcCVD DD after 40 hours of irradiation;
- □ Variation in the signal properties of the scCVD DD after 12 hours of irradiation;
- □ Deposited Dose =  $1.8 \times 10^6$  Gy (<sup>12</sup>C@62 MeV/u) equivalent to ~ 400 days of operation at Super-FRS;
- Other characteristics: σ < 45 ps; rate > 500 Hz/mm<sup>2</sup>
  [F. Schirru et al., J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 49 (2016)].
  Super-FRS requirements fully met.

# LNS 2017: Motivation

A new particle detector combination (PDC) is under development at GSI. The system will be used to measure the expected high primary beam intensities at FAIR (up to a factor of 10-100 over present) and the consequently increasing intensities of radioactive beams produced at the Super-FRS.



In its present design, the PDC is made up of three different detectors able to cover the wide range of particle rates.

DDs	[Lower Rates]
IC	[Medium Rates]
SEETRAM	[Higher Rates]

#### Why diamond detectors?

- □ In principle, ability to work at higher particle rates (up to 10<sup>7</sup> particles/s);
- Radiation Hardness (pcCVD DD);
- Material available in larger sizes (pcCVD DD).

## LNS 2017: The Goals

Study of the response and calibration of the DDs, IC, SEETRAM by means of 62 MeV/u <sup>12</sup>C beams at different rates between 10<sup>3</sup>-10<sup>10</sup> Hz.

#### In addition...

- $\Box$  Verify the correct functioning of the DDs for E > |1| V/µm;
- □ Verify any evidences of radiation damages on the scCVD device;
- □ Calculate the efficiency ratio of the pcCVD/scCVD DDs;
- □ Compare the DDs performance by using two different preamplifiers;
- □ Compare the DDs performance by using two different cable lengths.

#### Extra Task...

□ Evaluate the x-rays response of the DDs before and after performing the PDC test.

**Experiment Overview** 

Material & Experimental Setup

Results

Conclusions

# Experimental Setup @LNS





<sup>12</sup>C @62 MeV/u, 2 pnA  $\Box$  Collimator,  $\varnothing$  2.5 mm

#### **Detectors**:

- **scCVD DD**, 3.23x3.23x0.16 mm □ pcCVD DD, 18x18x0.3 mm **SCI**, 100x100x0.25 mm
- **Δ** SEETRAM, 3 foils each 24 μm

The DDs signals were amplified with the DBA III and then sent either to the oscilloscope (100 ps/bin) or to the discriminator and scaler (VULOM/CAEN) for data acquisition.

### **Diamond Detectors**



pcCVD diamond detector E6\_622-5

Thickness	300 µm
Surface Treatment	Oxygen Termination
Electrode Type	Au, 100 nm
Area	20.0x20.0 mm <sup>2</sup>
Active Area	18.5x18.0 mm <sup>2</sup>



#### scCVD diamond detector E6\_534-8A

Thickness	160 μm
Surface Treatment	Oxygen Termination
Electrode Type	Au, 100 nm
Area	4.2x4.2 mm <sup>2</sup>
Active Area	3.23x3.23 mm <sup>2</sup>

**Experiment Overview** 

Material & Experimental Setup

Results

Conclusions

## Waveforms Processing



Amplitude (mV)

# Signal Distributions (scCVD DD)





#### Remarks:

- Broadening of the distributions with double peaks;
- □ Scintillator distributions still good;
- Unknown accumulated dose;
- The minimum dose at which the device was still operating correctly is ~20 kGy.

# Diamond Detectors Waveforms





#### Remarks (scCVD DD):

- broad range of signal amplitudes after beam exposure;
- □ different decay times;

#### Remarks (pcCVD DD):

larger area electrodes compatible with signals having smaller slope and longer decay times.

### ToF Assessment



- **D** ToF measurements performed for E = 1 V/ $\mu$ m and E = 1.5 V/ $\mu$ m on both DIA devices;
- $\Box$   $\sigma$  correction obtained by linear fit between ToF and pcCVD DIA ToT distributions;
- **□** For E = 1.5 V/ $\mu$ m, correction leads to  $\sigma$  ~96 ps;
- $\Box$  Only slight improvement (few percent) of  $\sigma$  for E = 1.5 V/ $\mu$ m.

# Efficiency Assessment



# PA-20 vs DBA III (Specifications)

Туре	current preamplifier
Gain	20 dB
Energy range	100 MeV
Bandwidth	1 MHz - 1.5 GHz
Noise RMS	190 μV (19 μV input referred)
Input impedance	50 Ω
Output impedance	50 Ω
Input/output coupling	AC coupled
Input polarity	bipolar
Output polarity	bipolar (inverting)
Linear output voltage range	+/- 1 V
Max. bias voltage	+/- 500 V
Power supply	+12 V, 45 mA

Туре	DBA-III/R
Description	GaAs 2-stage MMIC Inverting Broadband Amplifier
Bandwidth (-3 dB)	0.003 - 2.3 GHz
Gain	+42 dB
Input Impedance	50 Ohms, SWR <1.5
Output Impedance	50 Ohms, SWR <1.5
Noise Figure (Input terminated)	3 dB
Max. Output Power Level	+18dBm / 2V <sub>peak</sub>
Max. Bias Voltage	+/- 2000V, no input protection, the biased input must not
for the Detector	be shorted to ground or disconnected !
Power Supply	+12 V, 100mA
Dimensions	Length: 95mm, Width: 47mm, Height 25 mm
Connectors	RF in/out, Bias: SMA; Power: LEMO 4pole



0	0	C	– input

Size
Box material
Signal connectors
HV connector (detector bias)
Power connector

65 mm x 55 mm x 15 mm
aluminium with RF shielding
SMA female
SMA female
mini XLR (power supply cable included)

http://widebandamplifiers.com for more info



# PA-20 Tests (scCVD DD)



	File Set	Amp Type	Amplitude (mV)	Slope (mV/100 ps)	Charge (pC)	LE (ns)	Cable (m)	
Γ	3	DBA III	391.05	97.95	15.65	16.92±0.04	0.4	
	3	DBA III	600.76	137.19	22.84	"	0.4	
	6	PA-20	83.16	16.59	4.41	16.87±0.04	0.4	7
	6	PA-20	127.73	22.96	5.53	"	0.4	
	7	PA-20	52.48	7.84	3.83	16.82±0.07	50	ble
	7	PA-20	84.20	10.73	4.88	"	50	၂ ပီ

Comparison

Preamp

#### RG214 cable leads to:

- decrease of the signal amplitude by ~35%;
- decrease of the signal slope by factor ~2;

LE noisier

Comparison

Data obtained by Gaussian fit of the distributions generated with over 4000 recorded waveforms per set with the scCVD diamond detector.

## Mini X-rays Setup



Target thickness	1 μm (Au)
Tube Voltage	10 to 50kV
Tube Current	5 μA min. / 200 μA max.
Approximate Dose Rate	Dose Rate ~1.3 Sv/h @ 30 cm
Collimator	2 mm

#### Settings

- □ Detector distance : ~10 mm;
- □ Tube voltage : 40 kV;
- **D** Tube current : 90  $\mu$ A;
- **Collimator** :  $2 \text{ mm} \emptyset$  (x-rays flux within a cone of 5°).

The metallic box itself, without detector being connected, has an intrinsic leakage current in the order of  $10^{-13}$  A for ±500 V voltage applied.

# Measurement Program (scCVD DD)

#### Chronological Order

- Evaluation of the photocurrent characteristics (dynamic response and fluctuation of the signal) for different voltages applied;
- Measurement of the *I-V* characteristics of the leakage current;
- Long term measurement (over 160 minutes) to check the stability of the detector signal at different stages.

Bias	El. Field
(V)	(V/µm)
-240	-1.5
-160	-1
-80	-0.5
-40	-0.25
-20	-0.125
-8	-0.05
0	0
8	0.05
20	0.125
40	0.25
80	0.5
160	1
240	1.5

## Dynamic Response & Signal Fluctuations



- Generally, similar behaviour;
- Slightly lower value of the photocurrent (AFTER) especially at lower voltages;
- $\Box$  The detector exhibits faster saturation (x-rays ON) of the signal for E < 1 V/µm;
- □ Instability of the signal for  $E > 0.5 V/\mu m$ ;
- □ Instability decreases for  $E > 0.5 V/\mu m$  (AFTER)

# Photocurrent and Leakage Current



- □ Leakage current calculated as average over ten data points recorded just before switching on the x-rays source;
- Photocurrent calculated as average over ten data points recorded just before switching off the x-rays;
- □ Leakage current slightly increases for E > 0 while decreases a few order of magnitudes for high values of E.

# SNR & Long Term Measurements



- $\Box$  The SNR differs by one order of magnitude and becomes smaller for E > 1 V/µm;
- □ The SNR decreases while increasing E since the leakage current increases more compared to the photocurrent;
- □ Long term measurement at  $E = 1 V/\mu m$ , {60 minutes [leakage current]; 30 minutes [x-rays tube ON]; 70 minutes [photocurrent decay]};}
- □ 160 points whose value corresponds to an averaged electric current over one minute of measurement;
- Strong instability of the signal.

**Experiment Overview** 

Material & Experimental Setup

Results

Conclusions

### Conclusions

- □ As already observed in the 2015, the scCVD diamond detector shows deterioration of the signal properties after exposure to irradiation;
- □ The large electrode area of the pcCVD device influenced its performance;
- □ For beam rate < 1 MHz, the efficiency of the pcCVD diamond detector is > 94%;
- □ The new preamplifier PA-20 was successfully tested;
- □ The 50 m cable influences the ToF and the signal properties of the scCVD device. Detector counting can be compensated by adjustments of the electronics settings;
- □ The x-rays tests confirms the worsening of the scCVD diamond detector signal properties;
- □ Signal instability due to high electric field applied is still an issue to be solved.

### Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union HORIZON2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement n. 654002 - ENSAR2

**Collaborators:** J. Enders<sup>2</sup>, P. Figuera<sup>3</sup>, J. Frühauf<sup>1</sup>, M. Jastrzab<sup>4</sup>, M. Kiš<sup>1</sup>, A. Kratz<sup>1</sup>, N. Kurz<sup>1</sup>, C. Nociforo<sup>1</sup>, S. Salamone<sup>3</sup>, S. Schlemme<sup>1,2</sup>, B. Szczepanczyk<sup>1</sup>, M. Träger<sup>1</sup>, R. Visinka<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>3</sup> LNS-INFN Catania, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Instytut Fizyki Jądrowej PAN, Kraków, Poland



Thank you!

GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

