Mosaic diamond detector for MIPs detection in HADES

Lukáš Chlad^{1,2}, Wolfgang Koenig³, Jerzy Pietraszko³, Michael Träger³, Robert Visinka³

¹Nuclear Physics Institute of the ASCR

²Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague

³GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

3rd ADAMAS Collaboration Meeting, Trento









Lukáš Chlad (NPI Řež)

HADES π -experiment

- Strangeness program with $p_{\pi} = 1.7 \, \text{GeV/c}$ and targets
 - ◊ tungsten
 - copper
 - carbon
- Baryonic resonances program with $p_{\pi}=0.69\,{\rm GeV/c}$ and targets
 - o polyethylene
 - carbon

Pion momentum distribution



Beam detectors for HADES π -experiment



- PionTracker detector = 4 silicon strip detectors
- Start detector = 9 scCVD diamonds
- Hodoscope (Veto) = 16 scintillator rods

Lukáš Chlad (NPI Řež)

Diamond for MIPs

Reduction of trigger rate To separate reaction of pions with other material than target.

Alignment of π^- beam To control the settings of the focusing magnets. Vertex determination Together with PionTracker START should participate on X-, Y- vertex determination of each pion from beam. And alone it should determine the t_0 of reaction.

Trigger rate reduction for planned LH₂ target



Lukáš Chlad (NPI Řež)

Diamond for MIPs

Trigger rate reduction for planned LH₂ target

Beam profiles at START detector from recent pion beam for HADES



Last quadrupole magnet focused the Y-direction.

Trigger rate reduction for planned LH₂ target

Target holder (metal) up to 100% interaction probability !!!!



LH₂ Target 4% interaction probability

Lukáš Chlad (NPI Řež)

Diamond for MIPs

Properties of START detector

Requirements

- $\rightarrow~$ Time resolution $< 100\,\mathrm{ps}$
- $\rightarrow~$ Tracking resolution $\approx 2\,\mathrm{mm}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ High detection efficiency and rate capability

Technical Solution

- ightarrow 9 diamonds in two planes
- $\rightarrow \;$ Each diamond $4.6 \times 4.6 \, \rm{mm^2}$ and $300 \, \mu \rm{m}$
- $\label{eq:segmentation} \rightarrow \mbox{ Segmentation of diamond into 4} \\ \mbox{ independent readout channels}$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Two stage amplification of signals because of low energy losses





Properties of HODOSCOPE detector

- Requirements
 - → High detection efficiency and rate capability
- Technical Solution
 - \rightarrow 16 scintillator rods
 - \rightarrow On both sides of a rod are PMTs



Time Resolution of START detector

Two independent methods:

Using pions (no interactions)

 Using electrons (from interactions)



Time Resolution of START detector

Two independent methods:

Using pions (no interactions)

 Using electrons (from interactions)



Idea:

- For each hit compute $t_1 t_0$
- Fit the distribution of $t_1 t_0$ with Gauss function



• Since we do not know σ_{HODO} nor σ_{START} we assume:

$$\sigma^{2} = \sigma_{\text{HODO}}^{2} + \sigma_{\text{START}}^{2}$$
$$\sigma_{\text{HODO}} = \sigma_{\text{START}} \Rightarrow$$
$$\sigma_{\text{START}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- Two possibilities to improve the time resolution
 - Hit position cut on Hodoscope
 - 2 Timewalk corrections for Start



Result: $\sigma_{\text{START}} = 265 \, \text{ps}$

- For time difference $t_1 t_0$ we use average time from Hodoscope PMTs $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} (t_{\text{left}} + t_{\text{right}})$
- Due to attenuation of light in scintillator we see dependence of signal amplitude (=width) on $t_{\rm left} t_{\rm right}$
- We can choose pions that goes through the middle of scintillator $(\pm 2 \text{ cm } \pm 200 \text{ ps})$



- For time difference $t_1 t_0$ we use average time from Hodoscope PMTs $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} (t_{\text{left}} + t_{\text{right}})$
- Due to attenuation of light in scintillator we see dependence of signal amplitude (=width) on $t_{\rm left} t_{\rm right}$
- We can choose pions that goes through the middle of scintillator $(\pm 2 \text{ cm } \pm 200 \text{ ps})$



- For time difference $t_1 t_0$ we use average time from Hodoscope PMTs $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} (t_{\text{left}} + t_{\text{right}})$
- Due to attenuation of light in scintillator we see dependence of signal amplitude (=width) on $t_{\rm left} t_{\rm right}$
- We can choose pions that goes through the middle of scintillator $(\pm 2 \text{ cm } \pm 200 \text{ ps})$



- For time difference $t_1 t_0$ we use average time from Hodoscope PMTs $t_1 = \frac{1}{2} (t_{\text{left}} + t_{\text{right}})$
- Due to attenuation of light in scintillator we see dependence of signal amplitude (=width) on $t_{\rm left}-t_{\rm right}$
- We can choose pions that goes through the middle of scintillator $(\pm 2 \text{ cm } \pm 200 \text{ ps})$



2. Timewalk corrections for Start

- Needed in case of using the leading edge discriminator in the readout electronics
- Correcting t₀ by taking into account amplitude (=width) of the signal (unwanted dependence of time measurement on the slope of the leading edge of the signal)



2. Timewalk corrections for Start

- Needed in case of using the leading edge discriminator in the readout electronics
- Correcting t₀ by taking into account amplitude (=width) of the signal (unwanted dependence of time measurement on the slope of the leading edge of the signal)



- Result $\sigma_{\text{START}} = 190 \, \text{ps}$ is worse than expected
- Advantages
 - + Good statistics (only 4% probability of interaction pion+target)
 - + Easy and fast (no need of particle identification)
- Disadvantages
 - Uncertainty in Hodoscope contribution to total time resolution $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$

Idea:

• To obtain pure Start time resolution we must use dielectron events (measuring Time of Flight for electrons)

$$\sigma_{\rm START} = \sqrt{\sigma^2 - \sigma_{\rm ToF}^2}$$

 By using dielectron events we can determine the contribution of ToF detectors (TOF/RPC)

$$t_{\mathrm{e}^{\pm}} = t_{\mathrm{ToF}^{\pm}} - t_{\mathrm{START}} \Rightarrow$$

$$t_{\rm e^+} - t_{\rm e^-} = t_{\rm ToF^+} - t_{\rm ToF^-}$$



Symbols used in pictures: RPC = + , TOF = \times

From distribution of $t_{\rm e^+}-t_{\rm e^-}$ we obtained $\sigma_{\rm RPC}=80\,{\rm ps}$ and $\sigma_{\rm TOF}=180\,{\rm ps}.$



Symbols used in pictures: RPC = + , TOF = \times

Start + ToF time distribution



Symbols used in pictures: RPC = +, TOF = \times



Start + ToF time distribution



Symbols used in pictures: RPC = + , TOF = \times

Start time distribution after timewalk correction



Start + ToF time distribution

The reason why some START channels have very different time resolution is in statistics.

The 6-structure of histograms is due to numbering of channels:





- After timewalk corrections the result for Start time resolution $\sigma_{Start} = 180 \text{ ps}$ is in good agreement with the result from Using pions part ($\sigma_{Start} = 190 \text{ ps}$)
- Advantages
 - + Possibility to subtract $\sigma_{\rm ToF}$
- Disadvantages
 - More complicated analysis is needed to identify electrons (information from other detectors)
 - A lot of data is needed to be analysed to obtain enough statistic (rare decays, probability of interaction)

- Two different and independent ways of analysis of time resolution have been presented
- $\circ~$ Time resolution of Start was determined $\sigma_{\rm START}\approx 185\,{\rm ps}$
- Known problems:
 - Not sufficient HV on diamonds (only $200\,\mathrm{V}\approx0.67\,\mathrm{V}/\mu\mathrm{m})$
 - Too high external noise in the system
 - \Rightarrow For HADES π -experiment the main importance was the trigger
- Another test is planned to determine time resolution with MIPs

GSI Detector Laboratory (M. Kiš) GSI Target Laboratory (A. Hübner, B. Lommel) HADES collaboration: INFN-LNS Catania (Italy); LIP Coimbra (Portugal): PTDC/FIS/113339/2009; SIP JUC Cracow (Poland): 2013/10/M/ST2/00042 and NN202198639; GSI Darmstadt (Germany): Helmholtz Alliance HA216/EMMI; TU Darmstadt (Germany): VH-NG-823, Helmholtz Alliance HA216/EMMI; HZDR, Dresden (Germany): 283286, 05P12CRGHE; Goethe-University, Frankfurt (Germany): Helmholtz Alliance HA216/EMMI, HIC for FAIR (LOEWE), GSI F&E, BMBF 06FY9100I; TU Muenchen, Garching (Germany): BMBF 06MT7180; JLU Giessen (Germany): BMBF:05P12RGGHM; University Cyprus, Nicosia (Cyprus): UCY/3411-23100; IPN Orsay, Orsay Cedex (France): CNRS/IN2P3; NPI AS CR, Rez, (Czech Republic): MSMT LG 12007, GACR 13-06759S.

Thank you for your attention





Lukáš Chlad (NPI Řež)





