1st ADAMAS Workshop 16–18 December 2012, GSI



Radiation hardness tests on thin diamond detectors



Veljko Grilj *Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb*



Detector testing at RBI



Detector irradiation on microprobe line



Detector irradiation on microprobe line









Ion Beam Induced Charge – imaging



Motivation

R

Last year:





This year:

homogeneous distribution of radiation induced defects

MeV proton irradiation of thin detectors





Samples and irradiation conditions

50 µm thick scCVD diamond detector from Diamond Detectors Ltd.



➢ 50 µm thick SSB detector from ORTEC



↓ A.5 MeV protons
↓ irradiation: beam current ~ 1pA
beam resolution ~ 1µm





Samples and irradiation conditions

6 µm thick diamond membrane (optical grade) from Michal Pomorski Ltd.







2 MeV protons beam current ~ 1pA beam resolution ~ 1µm

Radiation hardness results

> IBIC done with transmitting ions:



$1 V/\mu m$

Dose rate influence

same fluence, different ion current:







RB

HW

Short-range IBIC probe

> sample: 50 µm this $C(\mu\tau)_{h/e} \cdot E$ > probe: 500 keV pi $CCE = \frac{(\mu\tau)_{h/e} \cdot E}{d}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{d}{(\mu\tau)_{h/e}} \cdot H\right)\right]$$





To conclude...



- microprobe + IBIC = powerful technique for irradiation of selected detector regions and characterization of electrically active defects produced
- radiation hardness of diamond decreases when switching from GeV to MeV energy range of impinging ions
- shortening of charge carrier transport time is effectively increasing detector resistivity to radiation
- > produced defects can have different influence on electrons and holes
- > concentration of defects remaining after the irradiation is dose dependant



RB

Natko Skukan, Milko Jakšić, IRB

Michal Pomorski, CEA

Tomihiro Kamiya, Wataru Kada, JAEA

















